UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,143	07/22/2005	Tae-Song Kim	KIST.2120.0001	7804
	7590 12/23/200 lectual Property Law, 1	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 34688	}	CANDLER, SAMUEL M		
Washington DC	C, DC 20043		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3739		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/543,143	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

NOTICE OF APPEAL		SAMUEL CANDLER	3739	
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidiaty, or other evidence with a papication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13: or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection, with a period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If that is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRM REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.198(a) and the appropriate extension fee names been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The reply reply reply reduce any example and the majority reply must be filed within the remainder of the file register, even if timely filed under 37 CFR 1.178(a) is calculated from (1) the expiration date of the shortened stanturary period for reply originally set in the filed office action, (2) is any reduce any example application and the corresponding amount of the file. The reply reply must be filed within the remainder the mailing under the filed within the remainder the mailing under the mailing and the suppose of the filed within the filed within the filed provided of the filed within the original provided and the filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS The proposed am	The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a)	THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
to)	application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appriopriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailin). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejectio	n.
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3.	have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
(a)	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 	sideration and/or search (see NO r);	TE below);	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet Below. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) //SAMUEL CANDLER/	5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 1. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet Below. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). John P Leubecker/ John P Leubecker/	non-allowable claim(s).			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet Below. 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: (John P Leubecker/ (SAMUEL CANDLER/	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		ll be entered and an ex	planation of
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet Below. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: (John P Leubecker/ John P Leubecker/	AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet Below. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet Below. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: //John P Leubecker/ //SAMUEL CANDLER/	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
See Continuation Sheet Below. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: /John P Leubecker/ /SAMUEL CANDLER/	REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
13. Other: /John P Leubecker/ /SAMUEL CANDLER/	See Continuation Sheet Below.	,	n condition for allowand	ce because:
70 WINDER OF WINDER	12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (F13. ☐ Other:	1 0/58/08) Paper No(s)		
)	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Regarding Arguments concerning electrodes being located on the sensor surface of Brockway et al, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. First, enlargement of Figs. 4 and 5 does not conclusively show that electrodes 405a, b are located inside of sensor 400 and not, in any way, on the surface of sensor 400. Additionally, saying the sensor 'carries' the electrodes would not conclusively show that the electrodes are only located inside of the sensor. Also, the paragraphs of Brockway et al pointed to by the Applicant using the phrase 'carrying' apply to a different embodiment (that of Fig. 3) than the one pointed to by the examiner (Fig. 4). Though Brockway et al does not explicitly disclose electrodes 405a, b as on the outer surface of sensor 400 in the written specification, a current is transferred between electrodes 405a, b, through the body, to a set of receiving electrodes 410a, b installed on the outer surface of the human body. This current transfer would require some contact between electrodes 405a, b and the interior of the human body which mean the electrodes would have to at least be partially installed on the surface of sensor 400 in order to contact the interior of the human body.

Regarding Arguments concerning the capabilities of the device of Gersheneld et al, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Applicant claims that the device of Gersheneld et al cannot transmit signals through the human body. Gersheneld et al explicitly discloses transmitting signals through the human body in col. 4 lines 49-54 and col. 6 lines 33-37. Additionally, seeing that the device of Gersheneld et al has the capability of transmitting a signal from the exterior of the body, through the interior of the body, and back to the exterior of the body, the Examiner finds it reasonable that the device is capable of transmitting a signal from the interior of the body to the exterior of the body.

Regarding Arguments concerning the location of electrodes in the device of Brockway et al, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated previously, Brockway et al states that 'structural and electrical changes may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention.' The location of the electrodes does not appear to significantly alter the functionality of the device, and the Examiner maintains that the alterations as claimed would be obvious.

Regarding Arguments concerning the current limitation taught in Holmes et al, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The current limit circuit of Holmes et al should be viewed as a resistor connected serially having a capacitor connected in parallel. Review Fig. 1 of Holmes in view of Fig. 4 element 140 of the present application.

/SAMUEL CANDLER/ Examiner, Art Unit 3739